Introduction

Landmark trials have demonstrated that optimal glycaemic, blood pressure (BP) and lipid control reduces the risk of progression of diabetic retinopathy (DR), a common microvascular complication of diabetes (1,2). Good diabetes control is, however, alarmingly low in Singaporeans with diabetes and DR, with 82.6% and 89.7% not meeting the recommended glycaemic and BP control thresholds, respectively (3). Barriers to optimal diabetes control are multifactorial which includes poor self-efficacy, fragmented
care, patient’s reluctance to ask questions to their diabetes care providers, and difficulty reconciling contradictory health information (4). Accordingly, an evidence-based, patient-centred strategy to address poor diabetes control is needed.

A recent meta-analysis showed that patient-centred interventions in those with diabetes conferred improvements in glycaemic control compared to usual care, especially when they were comprehensive, intensive, and integrated into routine care (5). However, as most studies were conducted in Caucasian patients, findings may not be generalisable to Asian populations due to differences in healthcare systems, cultural, religious and environmental habits, people’s perceptions to illness, and disease coping mechanisms (6). In addition, very few patient-centred personalised interventions have aimed to improve diabetes outcomes in those with microvascular complications such as DR, and related study findings have been equivocal (7,8).

We conducted a pilot randomised controlled trial (RCT) to test the effectiveness of a novel DR-specific intensive and personalised care planning (DR-IPCP) programme on improving glycated haemoglobin (HbA1c, primary outcome), BP and lipids (secondary outcomes). DR-IPCP involved personalised physician consultations and DR-specific behaviour change sessions conducted by DR specialist nurse educators using patients’ own retinal images. We hypothesised that DR-IPCP would be effective in improving diabetes control parameters in patients with type 2 diabetes and mild-moderate DR over three months, compared to usual care (UC).

**Methods**

English-speaking patients of Chinese, Malay or Indian ethnicity aged ≥21 years with type 2 diabetes, mild-moderate DR, and poor glycaemic control [HbA1c ≥64 mmol/mol (≥8.0%) over two consecutive readings] were recruited from retinal clinics at the Singapore National Eye Centre between 2015 and 2016. Participants with hearing or cognitive impairment [ascertained using the 6 item Cognitive Impairment Test (9)] were excluded. The study was approved by the SingHealth Centralised Institutional Review Board (#1199/5/2015) and written consent was obtained from each participant. The study was conducted according to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Participants were randomly assigned to UC or the DR-IPCP intervention using concealed allocation. UC participants received routine care from their primary and tertiary care providers and were advised to seek medical support from a diabetes nurse educator or hospital physician per standard care protocol.

DR-IPCP was designed based on the Health Change Australia methodology (10), an innovative patient-centred behaviour change strategy grounded in evidence-based psychological theories and principles including Motivational Interviewing (11), Theory of planned behaviour (12), Social Cognition Theory (13), and Transtheoretical Model (14). The intervention involved continuous and active conversations between the participant, personalised care-trained physician and diabetes nurse educator with the aim of establishing a mutual understanding on an individualised treatment regimen (Figure 1).

All participants underwent a baseline assessment of diabetes history, prior diabetes education, and self-reported medical conditions. HbA1c and lipid [total (TC), low and high density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL/HDL); and triglyceride (TG)] values were obtained from patients’ case records, if available in the past 6 months; otherwise venipuncture was performed. Systolic and diastolic BP (in mmHg) was assessed once using a digital sphygmomanometer. DR in the worse eye was graded from 2-field fundus images using the Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Scale (15). Blood and BP parameters were collected again at three months post-intervention. Finally, telephone interviews were conducted with DR-IPCP participants to obtain feedback about the intervention using both open-ended questions about their experience and a question rated on five-point Likert scale ranging from ‘Satisfied’ to ‘Not at all satisfied’.

Statistical analyses were performed using STATA version
with DR-IPCP and the fact that the intervention can be delivered by trained nurse educators, an integration into existing healthcare systems to complement routine eye care appears feasible. However, larger, longer-term RCTs to test the effectiveness of DR-IPCP on a range of clinical, behavioural, patient-centred, and economical outcomes are needed.

Our 7 mmol/mol (−0.8%) reduction in HbA$_{1c}$ is similar to an Australian RCT of patients in type 2 diabetes and mild-moderate DR (16). However, our results differ from a large US study which found no improvement in HbA$_{1c}$ or diabetes self-management practices at 1-year following an estimate of the participant’s risk of DR progression and a structured diabetes education program (7), highlighting the difficulty of sustained optimal diabetes control and the importance of incorporating behaviour change strategies into education interventions.

In contrast to several studies in patients with type 2 diabetes with more intensive and long term interventions (17,18), we found no significant within- or between-group reductions in BP following the DR-IPCP intervention although the intervention group had an absolute mean reduction of 7.88 mmHg. Our patients’ baseline SBP [mean (SD) =142 (25) mmHg] and DBP [mean (SD) =71 (10) mmHg] values were however relatively low, limiting its potential for further improvement.

Strengths of our study include its RCT design, a thorough clinical assessment, and a structured personalized behaviour change intervention tailored to support patients to achieve optimal diabetes control. If successful, the intervention could be adapted for other microvascular complications, such as diabetic nephropathy and neuropathy, and scaled up across primary care settings. However, there are several limitations to acknowledge. First, our pilot intervention was short-term, leading to only transient behavioural changes, and the sustainability of results is not known. Second, our small sample size limited our power to detect significant between-group effects. Third, we did not assess other key diabetes management behaviours, such as medication adherence, a healthy eating plan, regular physical activity, blood glucose-monitoring and diabetes self-care. Fourth, DR-IPCP was implemented in English- and Mandarin-speaking patients only. However, with diabetes prevalence highest amongst Malays (17.1%) and Indians (21.6%) in Singapore, our results may not be generalisable. Fifth, we restricted our patient sample to those with mild-moderate DR as targeting patients with
early disease may be most successful given that preventative action is possible to avoid disease progression (19); thus, it is unclear if this intervention would benefit those with severe DR. Finally, we did not measure the intervention’s effect on DR progression outcomes or DR-specific QoL, nor did we assess its cost-effectiveness compared to UC.

Whilst our results are promising, they need to be replicated in a large, adequately powered, and multi-ethnic RCT to understand the long-term clinical, ocular, cognitive, behavioural, psychosocial, and economic effectiveness of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>DR-IPCP</th>
<th>P value (WG)</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P value (WG)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>59.22 (11.53)</td>
<td>62.55 (18.13)</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (female)</td>
<td>5 (55.56)</td>
<td>5 (55.56)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DR type</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild NPDR</td>
<td>6 (66.67)</td>
<td>6 (66.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate NPDR</td>
<td>3 (33.33)</td>
<td>3 (33.33)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Comparison of participants’ characteristics and diabetes control parameters at baseline and 3 months post DR-IPCP intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>DR-IPCP</th>
<th>P value (WG)</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>P value (WG)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c (mmol/mol; %)</td>
<td>0.032*</td>
<td>0.771</td>
<td>0.068*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.049*</td>
<td>0.515</td>
<td>0.606*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.656</td>
<td>0.271*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.040*</td>
<td>0.358</td>
<td>0.385*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>0.740*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td>0.381*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>0.393</td>
<td>0.320</td>
<td>0.630*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are n (%) for categorical variables and mean (SD) for numerical variables. *P value between groups. *Indicate statistical significance (P<0.05). B, baseline; F, follow-up; WG, within group; DR-IPCP, diabetic retinopathy-specific, intensive, and personalised care planning; NPDR, non-proliferative diabetic retinopathy; HbA1c, glycated haemoglobin; TC, total cholesterol; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; TG, triglycerides; SBP, systolic blood pressure; DBP, diastolic blood pressure.
DR-IPCP, and we have been funded by the Singapore National Medical Research Council (#HSRG-DB17nov001) to undertake this important work. If successful, this novel approach may be a key step in personalising care for the Asian population living with poorly controlled type 2 diabetes and preventing the development of vision-threatening DR.
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