



Editorial: cataract surgery in the legally blind

Gurinder Singh^{1,2}

¹Department of Ophthalmology, The University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, Kansas, USA; ²Department of Ophthalmology, The University of Missouri - Kansas City Medical Center, Kansas City, Missouri, USA

Correspondence to: Prof. Dr. Gurinder Singh, MD, MHA. Clinical Professor of Ophthalmology, 10710 West 130th Terrace, Overland Park, Kansas 66213, USA. Email: gurindersingh555@hotmail.com.

Comment on: Lee JH, Han SB. Evaluation of visual outcome after cataract surgery in patients with legal blindness. *Ann Eye Sci* 2022;7:24.

Received: 20 April 2022; Accepted: 30 April 2022; Published: 15 September 2022.

doi: 10.21037/aes-22-19

View this article at: <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/aes-22-19>

In the United States, a patient is ‘legally blind’ if the best corrected vision in ‘both eyes’ is 20/200 (0.1) or less or the peripheral visual field is less than 20° from the central fixation (1). It could be from advanced glaucoma, opaque cornea, amblyopia, cataract, macular degeneration, severe retinitis pigmentosa, retinal detachment, optic neuritis, cone-rod dystrophies, and/or chorio-retinal degenerations, etc.

Legally blind are considered ‘visually handicapped’. While some of these patients are ‘functional’ in their familiar surroundings, but most lose their ‘independence’ even in daily life activities. That has detrimental effect on their psychological wellbeing. They feel helpless and burden on their families and the society.

Agreed, there are some ocular pathologies we still cannot help with, but there are some treatable conditions, such as cataract, corneal pathology, and retinal detachment etc. Even glaucoma is treatable before it would lead to ‘legal blindness’. The authors have used the term ‘Brunescent cataract’. That prompted me to comment on the forgotten terminology elucidating the morphological appearance of the cataractous lens. We are very familiar with and use the terms ‘cortical, nuclear sclerosis, posterior subcapsular cataract’ regularly. If the cataractous lens is unoperated and let it progress, then it loses its transparency and translucency to become opaque lens. Opaque lens with best visual acuity of ‘light projection’ is termed ‘Mature Cataract’. Further progression of the opacification of cataractous lens leads to ‘Hyper mature Cataract’. It is further of two types: (I) hydration and liquification of cortical part leads to white looking swollen lens (Cataracta Alba) also called ‘Morgagnian Cataract’ or (II) hardening of the nuclear component of the lens with dehydration of lens

material and shrinking in size, appearing yellowish first, then brownish (Cataracta Brunescence) and lastly looking blackish (Cataracta Nigra). All these mature or hyper mature type of cataracts are harder to remove and are associated with higher risk of intraoperative complications. Still, I believe, it becomes imperative to operate on these eyes, especially in the ‘legally blind’ because there is little to lose but much to gain. I applaud the authors to undertake this study that addresses an important issue of helping the ‘legally blind’.

There is published and available literature that addresses the ‘objective quantification’ of visual improvement after cataract removal in ‘legally blind’ and discussing the potential complications of such surgery, especially in the elderly. Simultaneously, we find enough evidence about the ‘subjective qualitative’ improvement of vision and the benefits of cataract surgery on the ‘legally blind’. Running parallel to this issue is the subject of cataract surgery in the ‘elderly’ (2-10).

In present day ophthalmology, our utmost efforts are to achieve ‘perfect’ or even ‘better than perfect’ vision, that too immediately after cataract surgery. But we have been ignoring a group of cataract patients who are legally blind and/or the elderly. Since my early days in ophthalmological career, I have been operating on ‘legally blind’ eyes, first in the ‘eye camps’ of India (11-14) and now in the United States. The ‘smile’ on the face of a legally blind patient who could ‘see’ after cataract surgery did not need to be ‘quantified’. These patients were ‘very happy’ just to be able to see again, be functional, be independent and that too just with ‘Aphakic Corrective Glasses’. Their needs and expectations were/are much different than those who

get surgery to correct their 20/40 (0.5) visual acuity to 20/20 (1.0).

Recently, I have operated on three patients who were 'legally blind' because of cataract along with severe glaucoma, retinitis pigmentosa, and bilateral macular degeneration. I could not improve their peripheral vision in the first two or the central vision in the third one, respectively, after cataract removal but regained functional vision. Their subjective comments explained the 'rewards' of operating on their eyes. One said, "*I am blown away by the brightness of colors and peripheral field and that I can see now.*" Second one said, "*I cannot see to the sides, but my improved central vision has made me functional at home and outside.*" These are anecdotal case reports and are not accepted in 'scientific' world, but that is exactly the point this manuscript and my comments want to make. In 'legally blind' and especially in the 'elderly', when hearing, mobility, and fragility are taking over life then recovery of vision is the only 'value' we can add to their lives.

Once again, I encourage to operate on the cataractous eyes of the 'legally blind' and 'the elderly' who may have other ocular or physical comorbidities.

Acknowledgments

Funding: None.

Footnote

Provenance and Peer Review: This article was commissioned by the editorial office, *Annals of Eye Science*. The article did not undergo external peer review.

Conflicts of Interest: The author has completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://aes.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/aes-22-19/coif>) and has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethical Statement: The author is accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Open Access Statement: This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-

commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The burden of vision loss. [cited 2022 March 22]. Available online: http://www.cdc.gov/visionhealth/basic_information/vision_loss_burden.htm
- Armbrecht AM, Findlay C, Kaushal S, et al. Is cataract surgery justified in patients with age related macular degeneration? A visual function and quality of life assessment. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2000;84:1343-8.
- Duman F, Kılıç Z, Özcan-Ekşi EE. Impact of Cataract Surgery on Functional Balance Skills of Adults. *Turk J Ophthalmol* 2019;49:243-9.
- Wong TY. Effect of increasing age on cataract surgery outcomes in very elderly patients. *BMJ* 2001;322:1104-6.
- Glick P, Luoto J, Orrs MS, et al. The individual and household impacts of cataract surgery on older blind adults in ethiopia. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2019;26:7-18.
- Polack S. Restoring sight: how cataract surgery improves the lives of older adults. *Community Eye Health* 2008;21:24-5.
- Marmamula S, Barrenakala NR, Challa R, et al. Visual outcomes after cataract surgery among the elderly residents in the 'homes for the aged' in South India: the Hyderabad Ocular Morbidity in Elderly Study. *Br J Ophthalmol* 2021;105:1087-93.
- Kuo IC, Broman AT, Massof RW, et al. The impact of cataract surgery on patients from a low-vision clinic. *Can J Ophthalmol* 2011;46:391-8.e1.
- Mönestam EI, Lundqvist BM, Jonsson AC. Long-time visual functional results of cataract surgery on low vision patients. *Clin Ophthalmol* 2008;2:187-94.
- Al Gamra H, Al Mansouri F, Khandekar R, et al. Prevalence and causes of blindness, low vision and status of cataract in 50 years and older citizen of Qatar-a community based survey. *Ophthalmic Epidemiol* 2010;17:292-300.
- Singh G. Combined trabeculectomy and cataract extraction in developing countries: A report from eye camps. *Glaucoma* 1982;4:242-5.
- Singh G. Simultaneous bilateral cataract extraction in eye camps. *Ocular Ther Surg* 1982;Jan-Feb:41-4.

13. Singh G, Grzybowski A. Evolution of and developments in simultaneous bilateral cataract surgery. Update 2020. *Ann Transl Med* 2020;8:1554.
14. Singh G. Simultaneous Bilateral Cataract Surgery in Developing Countries. Berlin, Germany: German Ophthalmological Society (DOG) Meeting, 2019.

doi: 10.21037/aes-22-19

Cite this article as: Singh G. Editorial: cataract surgery in the legally blind. *Ann Eye Sci* 2022;7:21.